

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/549,413	09/14/2005	Juszti Gyoergy	3426	6339		
7590 08/11/2006			EXAMINER			
Striker Striker & Stenby 103 East Neck Road			GRANT, ALVIN J			
Huntington, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
5			3723			
				DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applie	cati n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		9,413	GYOERGY, JUSZ	ті С
		in r	Art Unit	
		J. Grant	3723	
The MAILING DATE f this community of the second of the	icati n appears or	th c ver sheet with	th correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r unication. tutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICA no event, however, may a reply nd will expire SIX (6) MONTH a application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this control (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) file 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice 	2b)☐ This action for allowance exc	is non-final. ept for formal matters		e merits is
·	oo anaon an parto	<i>Quayro</i> , 1000 0.5. 1	11, 400 0.0. 210.	
Disp sition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction				
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Evaminor			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		r b) abjected to by	the Evaminer	
Applicant may not request that any object	•	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				R 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	documents have to documents have to for the priority documal Bureau (PCT)	peen received. peen received in App uments have been re Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 	ΓΩ-948\	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date			mal Patent Application (PTO	P-152)

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-10, drawn to a hand grinder, classified in class 451, subclass
 359.
 - II. Claims 11-17, drawn to hand grinder, classified in class 451, subclass 514.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as it does not need to have a clamping body that has at least two eccentric cams.

 Conversely, subcombination II has a separate utility such as it does dot a clamping body rotatably supported about a shaft located substantially vertically above a mounting face. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Upon the election of Group I or Group II above then applicant must further elect from the following patentably distinct species:

Art Unit: 3723

A. Figs. 1-4.

B. Figs. 5-8.

5. The species are independent or distinct because the embodiments have different elements.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 3723

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J. Grant whose telephone number is (571) 272-4484. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alvin J Grant Patent Examiner Art Unit 3723

ajg

Min Scrant